

Toward an Infinite-component Field Theory with a Double Symmetry: Free Fields

L.M.Slad ¹

*D.V.Skobeltzyn Institute of Nuclear Physics,
Moscow State University, Moscow 119899*

Abstract

We begin a study of possibilities of describing hadrons in terms of monolocal fields which transform as proper Lorentz group representations decomposable into an infinite direct sum of finite-dimensional irreducible representations. The additional requirement that the free-field Lagrangians be invariant under the secondary symmetry transformations generated by the polar or the axial four-vector representation of the orthochronous Lorentz group provides an effective mechanism for selecting the class representations considered and eliminating an infinite number of arbitrary constants allowed by the relativistic invariance of the Lagrangians.

1. Introduction

The first attempt, by Ginzburg and Tamm [1], at a relativistic description of particles with internal degrees of freedom was based on bilocal equations. It turned out that each of the considered equations yielded a nonadmissible mass spectrum, namely, it was shown that the masses tended to zero as some state-specifying quantum number increased. To clear up the question of how general this result is, Gelfand and Yaglom provided a complete description of all linear relativistically invariant equations of the form

$$(\Gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + iR)\Psi(x) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where Γ^μ and R are matrix operators, and the corresponding Lagrangians [2]. Having restricted themselves to the consideration of equations (1), in which the fields $\Psi(x)$ transform as proper Lorentz group representations decomposable into a finite direct sum of infinite-dimensional irreducible representations (in what follows, we call such fields the FSIIR-class fields) and the operator R is nondegenerate, Gelfand and Yaglom concluded that the masses tend to zero with infinitely increasing spin. It was later shown [3] that this conclusion failed in some cases: there are FSIIR-class representations of the proper Lorentz group and restrictions on arbitrary constants in Eq. (1) such that the masses tend to zero with increasing spin in some branches of the mass spectrum and tend to infinity in the other branches. It was also shown that if the matrix operator R is degenerate (this case was omitted in [2]), there are equations of type (1) for the FSIIR-class fields such that the nonzero masses in the corresponding mass spectrum tend to infinity with increasing spin; however, in this case, there are massless states

¹E-mail: slad@theory.sinp.msu.ru

for all spins beginning with some minimum value s_0 . These corrections to the Gelfand-Yaglom conclusion do not annul its physical content: the mass spectrum obtained in the framework of the Lagrangian approach for any of the FSIIR-class fields has peculiarities that are absolutely unacceptable in particle physics.

A rather general property of the FSIIR-class fields is their local noncommutativity, $[\Psi(x), \Psi(y)]_{\pm} \neq 0$ for $(x - y)^2 < 0$, proved in [4] under the assumption that the set of field states is complete and the mass spectrum does not contain infinitely degenerate levels. In the opinion of the authors of [5], it seems likely that this difficulty requires weakening the strict locality postulate.

The connection between spin and statistics for an infinite-component field (not necessarily of the FSIIR-class), satisfying Eq.(1), holds or does not hold depending upon what irreducible representations of the proper Lorentz group compose the representation which describes the field under consideration [6].

Some of the revealed "deceases" of the FSIIR-class fields, namely, the existence of spacelike solutions of the Gelfand-Yaglom equations [7] and the lack of *CPT*-invariance [8], are not common to all of them (the respective counterexamples can be found in [9] and [10]).

The result of all previous studies of the infinite-component fields can be summarized as follows.

First, the hopelessness of such a description of particles is established only for one class of the infinite-component fields, the FSIIR-class. The fields that transform as proper Lorentz group representations decomposable into an infinite direct sum of finite-dimensional or infinite-dimensional irreducible representations (in what follows, we call these fields the respective ISFIR-class or ISIIR-class fields) have not been investigated so far. The reason for this is an infinite number of arbitrary constants in the relativistically invariant Lagrangians for such fields.

Second, having been convinced that the general properties of the finite-component fields and the FSIIR-class fields are different in many respects, we can expect that the general properties of the FSIIR-class and ISFIR-class fields are also different.

Based on this summary, we appeal to the initial intuition that there exist infinite-component fields in terms of which we can effectively describe all states of particles with internal degrees of freedom (the hadrons).

With regard to the theory of the ISFIR-class fields, which we consider in this paper, the following is immediately evident. First, the theory is *CPT*-invariant (for proving this following Pauli [11], it is irrelevant whether the sum of finite-dimensional representations of the proper Lorentz group is finite or infinite). Second, the (anti)commutator of any two components of the ISFIR-class fields is represented by a finite sum of derivatives of the Pauli-Jordan function $D(x - y)$, but the maximum degree of derivatives is unlimited on the set of all such (anti)commutators.

To outline the fundamental differences between the theory of finite-dimensional fields and the theory of ISFIR-class fields, we invoke a simple analogy that gives an idea of the essence of the matter. If the physical quantities, cross sections first of all, can be assigned finite polynomials in some variable x in the first theory, they are assigned infinite convergent series in the second theory. If the coefficients in these series have alternating signs, then the quantities associated with such series can rapidly tend to zero as $x \rightarrow +\infty$. In the two theories under discussion, the role of the variable x belongs to the matrix elements of finite transformations for the irreducible representations of the proper Lorentz group; these matrix elements are represented by finite Laurent series in the Lorentz factor $(1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$. In the theory we finally obtain as a result of

our construction, we really have such a series with alternating signs, in particular, for the differential cross section of charged lepton-proton scattering, if we associate the lowest-energy spin-1/2 state for one of our infinite-component fields with the proton (the detailed description of this will be the subject of another paper).

Note also that one of the most serious difficulties for the theories of higher-spin particles in the framework of finite-dimensional representations of the proper Lorentz group is the problem of renormalizability. Our knowing of this difficulty in no way brings us closer to solving the renormalizability problem for some specific theory of the ISFIR-class fields; the solution of this problem will be of the highest degree of sophistication by far.

Two of our paper, this one and the paper being prepared for publication, are devoted to a detailed description of a subclass of the theories of the ISFIR-class fields, which is determined by the requirement of a double symmetry for the Lagrangians of free and interacting fields. The double symmetry, whose general definition is given in [12], includes relativistic invariance² (the primary symmetry) and the invariance under the transformations of a global secondary symmetry generated by the polar or the axial four-vector representation of the group $L^\uparrow$. These transformations can be written as

$$\Psi(x) \rightarrow \Psi'(x) = \exp[-iD^\mu\theta_\mu]\Psi(x), \quad (2)$$

where the parameters θ_μ are the components of a polar or an axial four-vector of the orthochronous Lorentz group and D^μ are matrix operators.

If an element g of the group $L^\uparrow$ is assigned the transformations

$$\Psi'(x) = S(g)\Psi(x), \quad (3)$$

$$\theta'_\mu = [l_\pm(g)]_\mu{}^\nu \theta_\nu \quad (4)$$

of the field $\Psi(x)$ and a polar or an axial four-vector θ_μ (the signs $+$ or $-$ correspond to the respective transformations of the polar or the axial four-vector θ_μ), then the operators D^μ must satisfy the relations

$$S^{-1}(g)D^\nu S(g)[l_\pm(g)]_\nu{}^\mu = D^\mu. \quad (5)$$

What are the physical and mathematical motivations for invoking a secondary symmetry in general and the given specific symmetry in particular? First, in addition to the primary symmetry defined by the properties of space-time, matter can have its own symmetry, which is related to the primary symmetry, is consistent with it, and does not violate it. Second, using the modern ideas of the inner structure of the hadrons, we can interpret transformations (2) with a polar four-vector θ_μ as adding some coherent state (the exponential) of gluons and quark-antiquark pairs to the hadron (the field $\Psi(x)$). In addition, we consider transformations (2) with an axial four-vector θ_μ on equal footing and restrict our consideration to the global transformations. Third, because all finite-dimensional irreducible representations of the proper Lorentz group with integer spins can be obtained from the direct products of the four-vector representation, the restrictions imposed by this secondary symmetry on the theory are exceeded in severity only by the restrictions imposed by a secondary symmetry generated by the bispinor representation of the proper Lorentz group. We have consider these latter restrictions in detail but do not discuss them here.

² According to modern terminology and the notation in [5], relativistic invariance means the invariance under the orthochronous Lorentz group $L^\uparrow$ generated by the proper Lorentz group $L^\uparrow_+$ and the spatial reflection P . The group $L^\uparrow$ is called the total Lorentz group in [2] and [13].

As we show in this paper, the invariance of the free-field Lagrangian under secondary symmetry transformations (2) along with its relativistic invariance provides an effective tool for selecting the proper Lorentz group representations and eliminating an infinite number of arbitrary constants of the Lagrangian. The symmetry of each Eq. (1) obtained is so high that the particle mass spectrum is infinitely degenerate in spin, is continuous, and ranges from some positive value m_0 to $+\infty$ if $R \neq 0$. To eliminate this degeneracy, we assume that the secondary symmetry is spontaneously broken, i.e., one or several Lorentz scalar components of the infinite-dimensional fields under consideration have nonzero vacuum expectation values. An analogue of this in quantum chromodynamics is the assumption of a quark-antiquark condensate. Therefore, before beginning a study of the properties of the selected class of free fields, we must first find the structure of the interaction Lagrangians for such fields with the required double symmetry. This will be the subject of another paper.

2. Necessary information about Lorentz group representations and the relativistically invariant Lagrangians

It seems reasonable to begin with information (taken from [2] and [13]) about the irreducible Lorentz group representations and the relativistically invariant Lagrangians that provides a basis for the calculations in this paper. In addition, this avoids possible inconveniences due to the remote publication of the cited sources, establishes a uniqueness of notions and notation, the more so, as the replacement of the Lorentz group with the group $SO(4) = SO(3) \otimes SO(3)$ so far prevails in describing the representations in the literature.

An infinitesimal proper Lorentz transformation

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = x^\mu + g^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\nu\rho} x^\rho,$$

where $g^{00} = -g^{11} = -g^{22} = -g^{33} = 1$, $g^{\mu\nu} = 0$ ($\mu \neq \nu$), and $\epsilon_{\nu\rho} = -\epsilon_{\rho\nu}$, of the contravariant space-time coordinates is assigned a transformation

$$\Psi \rightarrow \Psi' = \Psi + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} I^{\mu\nu} \Psi,$$

where $I^{\mu\nu} = -I^{\nu\mu}$, in a representation space. The commutation relations for the infinitesimal operators of the proper Lorentz group are

$$[I^{\mu\nu}, I^{\rho\sigma}] = -g^{\mu\rho} I^{\nu\sigma} + g^{\mu\sigma} I^{\nu\rho} + g^{\nu\rho} I^{\mu\sigma} - g^{\nu\sigma} I^{\mu\rho}. \quad (6)$$

An irreducible representation τ of the proper Lorentz group is defined by a pair of number (l_0, l_1) , where $2l_0$ is an integer and l_1 is an arbitrary complex number. The canonical basis vectors of this representation space are related to a subgroup $SO(3)$ and are denoted by $\xi_{\tau lm}$, where l is a spin, m is a projection of the spin onto the third axis, $m = -l, -l+1, \dots, l$, and $l = |l_0|, |l_0|+1, \dots$. The representation $\tau = (l_0, l_1)$ is finite-dimensional if $2l_1$ is an integer of the same parity as $2l_0$ and $|l_1| > |l_0|$; then $l = |l_0|, |l_0|+1, \dots, |l_1|-1$. The pairs (l_0, l_1) and $(-l_0, -l_1)$ define the same representation, $(l_0, l_1) \sim (-l_0, -l_1)$. If we introduce the operators

$$F^3 = iI^{30}, \quad F^- = iI^{10} + I^{20}, \quad F^+ = iI^{10} - I^{20}, \quad (7)$$

then for the irreducible representation $\tau = (l_0, l_1)$, the formulas

$$F^3 \xi_{\tau lm} = B_{\tau l} \sqrt{l^2 - m^2} \xi_{\tau l-1m} - A_{\tau l} m \xi_{\tau lm} - B_{\tau l+1} \sqrt{(l+1)^2 - m^2} \xi_{\tau l+1m}, \quad (8)$$

$$F^- \xi_{\tau lm} = -B_{\tau l} \sqrt{(l+m)(l+m-1)} \xi_{\tau l-1 m-1} - A_{\tau l} \sqrt{(l+m)(l-m+1)} \xi_{\tau l m-1} \\ - B_{\tau l+1} \sqrt{(l-m+1)(l-m+2)} \xi_{\tau l+1 m-1}, \quad (9)$$

$$F^+ \xi_{\tau lm} = B_{\tau l} \sqrt{(l-m)(l-m-1)} \xi_{\tau l-1 m+1} - A_{\tau l} \sqrt{(l-m)(l+m+1)} \xi_{\tau l m+1} \\ + B_{\tau l+1} \sqrt{(l+m+1)(l+m+2)} \xi_{\tau l+1 m+1} \quad (10)$$

hold, where

$$A_{\tau l} = \frac{i l_0 l_1}{l(l+1)}, \quad B_{\tau l} = \frac{i}{l} \sqrt{\frac{(l^2 - l_0^2)(l^2 - l_1^2)}{4l^2 - 1}}.$$

In passing from the proper to the orthochronous Lorentz group, we must introduce the spatial-reflection operator P , whose action on the canonical basis vectors is give by

$$P \xi_{\tau lm} = (-1)^{[l]} p_{\dot{\tau} \tau} \xi_{\dot{\tau} lm},$$

where $p_{\dot{\tau} \tau} p_{\tau \dot{\tau}} = 1$ and $\dot{\tau} = (-l_0, l_1) \sim (l_0, -l_1)$ if $\tau = (l_0, l_1)$.

It is conventional to indicate the representation of the proper Lorentz group, irrespective of whether the proper or the orthochronous Lorentz group is considered.

If a proper Lorentz group representation is decomposable into a finite or an infinite direct sum of finite-dimentional irreducible representations, then the Hermitian bilinear form

$$\overline{\Psi}_2 \Psi_1 \equiv (\Psi_2, \Psi_1) = \sum_{\tau, l, m, \tau', l', m'} (\psi_2)_{\tau' l' m'}^* a_{\tau' l' m', \tau lm} (\psi_1)_{\tau lm}$$

for the vectors $\Psi_1 = \{(\psi_1)_{\tau lm}\}$ and $\Psi_2 = \{(\psi_2)_{\tau lm}\}$ of this representation space is invariant under the proper Lorentz group provided that

$$a_{\tau' l' m', \tau lm} = (-1)^{[l]} a_{\dot{\tau} \tau} \delta_{\tau' \dot{\tau}} \delta_{l' l} \delta_{m' m}.$$

The Hermiticity of the bilinear form implies that $a_{\dot{\tau} \tau} = a_{\tau \dot{\tau}}^*$. This form is invariant under the spatial reflection P if $a_{\dot{\tau} \tau} = p_{\dot{\tau} \tau}^* a_{\tau \dot{\tau}} p_{\dot{\tau} \tau}$.

If an element g of the orthochronous Lorentz group is assigned the transformation

$$x'_\mu = [l_+(g)]_\mu^\nu x_\nu \quad (11)$$

of the covariant space-time coordinates and a field transformation of form (3), then the free Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{i}{2} [(\Psi, \Gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi) - (\partial_\mu \Psi, \Gamma^\mu \Psi)] - \kappa(\Psi, \Psi) \quad (12)$$

is relativistically invariant provided that the matrix operators Γ^μ satisfy the conditions

$$S^{-1}(g) \Gamma^\nu S(g) [l_+(g)]_\nu^\mu = \Gamma^\mu. \quad (13)$$

In addition, the operator Γ^0 must satisfy the relation

$$(\Gamma^0 \Psi_1, \Psi_2) = (\Psi_1, \Gamma^0 \Psi_2) \quad (14)$$

for the Lagrangian to be real.

Its follows from Eq. (13) that

$$[I^{\mu\nu}, \Gamma^\rho] = -g^{\mu\rho} \Gamma^\nu + g^{\nu\rho} \Gamma^\mu, \quad (15)$$

$$[P, \Gamma^0] = 0, \quad (16)$$

and, in particular,

$$[I^{i0}, \Gamma^0] = \Gamma^i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (17)$$

Let

$$\Gamma^0 \xi_{\tau lm} = \sum_{\tau', l', m'} c_{\tau' l' m', \tau lm} \xi_{\tau' l' m'}. \quad (18)$$

Then conditions (15) yield

$$c_{\tau' l' m', \tau lm} = c_{\tau' \tau}(l) \delta_{l' l} \delta_{m' m}, \quad (19)$$

and the quantity $c_{\tau' \tau}(l) \equiv c(l|l'_0, l'_1; l_0, l_1)$ can be different from zero for a given $\tau = (l_0, l_1)$ only if $\tau' = (l_0 \pm 1, l_1)$ or $(l_0, l_1 \pm 1)$. From conditions (15), we also find

$$c(l|l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) = c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) \sqrt{(l + l_0 + 1)(l - l_0)}, \quad (20)$$

$$c(l|l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) = c(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) \sqrt{(l + l_0 + 1)(l - l_0)}, \quad (21)$$

$$c(l|l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) = c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) \sqrt{(l + l_1 + 1)(l - l_1)}, \quad (22)$$

$$c(l|l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1) = c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1) \sqrt{(l + l_1 + 1)(l - l_1)}, \quad (23)$$

where $c(l'_0, l'_1; l_0, l_1) \equiv c_{\tau' \tau}$ are arbitrary.

Condition (16) reduces to

$$c_{\tau' \dot{\tau}} p_{\dot{\tau} \tau} = p_{\tau' \dot{\tau}} c_{\dot{\tau} \tau}, \quad (24)$$

and relation (14) yields

$$c_{\dot{\tau} \tau'}^*(l) a_{\dot{\tau} \tau} = a_{\tau' \dot{\tau}} c_{\dot{\tau} \tau}(l). \quad (25)$$

It follows from formulas (20)-(23) that coupling of finite-dimensional and infinite-dimensional representations of the proper Lorentz group is impossible in the relativistically invariant Lagrangian because $c_{\tau' \tau}(l) = c_{\tau \tau'}(l) = 0$ for pairs τ and τ' of this type: if $\tau = (\pm|l_0|, |l_0| + 1)$, then there must be $\tau' = (\pm(|l_0| + 1), |l_0| + 1)$ or $\tau' = (\pm|l_0|, |l_0|)$.

If the operator Γ^0 is known, we use relations (17) to find the operators Γ^i , $i = 1, 2, 3$.

3. Conditions imposed by the secondary symmetry on the infinite-component free-field theory

In this paper, we find all variants of a free-field theory that satisfies the following conditions:

Condition 1. The proper Lorentz group representation S , according to which any of the considered fields transforms, is decomposable into an infinite direct sum of finite-dimensional irreducible representations, and the multiplicity of each irreducible representation does not exceed unity. The bosonic fields belong to one of the two types defined by the transformation properties under spatial reflection: for any irreducible representation τ belonging to S , either $p_{\dot{\tau} \tau} = 1$ or $p_{\dot{\tau} \tau} = -1$.

Condition 2. Lagrangian (12) for each field is relativistically invariant and unsplitable, i.e., it cannot be represented as a sum of two Lagrangians containing no identical field components.

Condition 3. Lagrangian (12) for each field is invariant under the nontrivial ($D^\mu \neq 0$) global transformations of secondary symmetry (2) generated by either the polar or the axial four-vector representation of the orthochronous Lorentz group.

Condition 4. Matrix operator Γ^0 in Lagrangian (12) is Hermitian, $c_{\tau' \tau}^*(l) = c_{\tau \tau'}(l)$.

As a basic variant in the first stage of our study, we assume (Condition 2) that the infinite-component bosonic free fields are described by first-order differential equations. It is quite possible that we must abandon this description in favor of second-order equations at some stage, but knowing the structure of the first-order equations, we can also obtain the particular class of second-order equations without any difficulties.

It is evident that the weak-interaction Lagrangian involving the infinite-component fields under consideration cannot be invariant under the secondary symmetry transformations generated by the polar or the axial four-vector representation of the group $L^\dagger$. However, this Lagrangian, as well as the total Lagrangian, can have a well-defined secondary symmetry generated by a second-rank $(0, 3)$ or $(-1, 2) \oplus (1, 2)$ tensor of the group $L_+^\dagger$. We could find all variants of the theory with this secondary symmetry from the very beginning, but this would be a somewhat conceptually different and, probably, more complicated problem.

Because relations (5) for the operators D^μ and relation (13) for the operators Γ^μ are the same for any elements g of the proper Lorentz group, the matrix operators D^μ are described by formulas similar to Eqs. (15) and (18)-(23). The arbitrary quantities in such formulas are denoted as $d_{\tau'\tau} \equiv d(l_0', l_1'; l_0, l_1)$. The properties of the operator D^0 under spatial reflection are described by a relation similar to Eq. (16) if the parameter θ_μ in transformation (2) is a polar four-vector or by the formula $\{P, D^0\} = 0$ if θ_μ is an axial four-vector.

Lagrangian (12) is invariant under secondary symmetry transformations (2) if the relations

$$[\Gamma^\mu, D^\nu] = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$(D^0\Psi_1, \Psi_2) = (\Psi_1, D^0\Psi_2) \quad (27)$$

hold. If the constant κ in Lagrangian (12) is equal to zero, the invariance of the Lagrangian under secondary symmetry transformations (2) is provided by either conditions (26) and (27) or the conditions

$$\{\Gamma^\mu, D^\nu\} = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$(D^0\Psi_1, \Psi_2) = -(\Psi_1, D^0\Psi_2). \quad (29)$$

Define the matrix operator Γ^5 by

$$\Gamma^5 \xi_{(l_0, l_1)lm} = (-1)^{l_0+l_1} \xi_{(l_0, l_1)lm}.$$

It is easy to verify that any four-vector operator V^μ (satisfying a condition of type (5)) anticommutes with the operator Γ^5 . Consequently, if the operators V^μ and W^ν commute with each other, then the operators V^μ and $\Gamma^5 W^\nu$ anticommute; this implies that if we know the solutions of Eqs. (26), we also know the solutions of Eqs. (28), and vice versa. In what follows, we therefore deal only with Eqs. (26).

Take two independent relations

$$[\Gamma^0, D^0] = 0, \quad (30)$$

$$[\Gamma^0, D^3] = 0 \quad (31)$$

from conditions (26). The remaining Eqs. (26) follow from formulas (30) and (31) and commutation relations like (15) and (6).

Using the relation $D^3 = [I^{30}, D^0]$ and formulas (7), (8), and (18)-(23), we reduce relations (30) and (31) to an algebraic system of equations with respect to the quantities $c_{\tau'\tau}$ and $d_{\tau'\tau}$. Note that Eqs. (32)-(41) are valid for any completely reducible Lorentz

group representation S consistent with Condition 2 and with Condition 1 only in part, namely, no two irreducible representations of the group $L_+^\uparrow$ belonging to S are equivalent, while "boundary" equations (42)-(44) hold for the representations S that, in addition, satisfy one more part of Condition 1, i.e., the irreducible representations belonging to S are finite-dimensional.

In the case where the representation (l_0, l_1) contains no less than two values of spin ($|l_1| \geq |l_0| + 2$), we have

$$c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1)d(l_0, l_1; l_0 - 1, l_1) - d(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1)c(l_0, l_1; l_0 - 1, l_1) = 0, \quad (32)$$

$$c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 - 1) - d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 - 1) = 0, \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 c(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1)d(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) - (l_0 + 1)d(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1)c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) \\ + l_0 c(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1 + 1)d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) \\ - (l_1 + 1)d(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1 + 1)c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1)d(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) - d(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1)c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) \\ + c(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1 + 1)d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) \\ - d(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1 + 1)c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)d(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) + l_0 d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)c(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) \\ - (l_0 + 1)c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1)d(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1) \\ - (l_1 + 1)d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1)c(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)d(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) - d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)c(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) \\ + c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1)d(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1) \\ - d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1)c(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c(l_0, l_1; l_0 - 1, l_1)d(l_0 - 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) - d(l_0, l_1; l_0 - 1, l_1)c(l_0 - 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) \\ + c(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1)d(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) - d(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1)c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) \\ + c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 - 1)d(l_0, l_1 - 1; l_0, l_1) - d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 - 1)c(l_0, l_1 - 1; l_0, l_1) \\ + c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1)d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) - d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1)c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (l_0 - 1)[c(l_0, l_1; l_0 - 1, l_1)d(l_0 - 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) + d(l_0, l_1; l_0 - 1, l_1)c(l_0 - 1, l_1; l_0, l_1)] \\ - (l_0 + 1)[c(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1)d(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) + d(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1)c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1)] \\ + (l_1 - 1)[c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 - 1)d(l_0, l_1 - 1; l_0, l_1) + d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 - 1)c(l_0, l_1 - 1; l_0, l_1)] \\ - (l_1 + 1)[c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1)d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) + d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1)c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 [c(l_0, l_1; l_0 - 1, l_1)d(l_0 - 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) + d(l_0, l_1; l_0 - 1, l_1)c(l_0 - 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) \\ - c(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1)d(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) - d(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1)c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1)] \\ + l_0 [c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 - 1)d(l_0, l_1 - 1; l_0, l_1) + d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 - 1)c(l_0, l_1 - 1; l_0, l_1) \\ - c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1)d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) - d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1)c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& l_0(l_0 - 1)[c(l_0, l_1; l_0 - 1, l_1)d(l_0 - 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) - d(l_0, l_1; l_0 - 1, l_1)c(l_0 - 1, l_1; l_0, l_1)] \\
& + l_0(l_0 + 1)[c(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1)d(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) - d(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1)c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1)] \\
& + l_1(l_1 - 1)[c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 - 1)d(l_0, l_1 - 1; l_0, l_1) - d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 - 1)c(l_0, l_1 - 1; l_0, l_1)] \\
& + l_1(l_1 + 1)[c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1)d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) - d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1)c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)] = 0, \quad (41)
\end{aligned}$$

and the quantities $c_{\tau'\tau}$ and $d_{\tau'\tau}$ that could describe coupling of the finite-dimensional and infinite-dimensional irreducible representations of the group $L_+^\uparrow$ must be omitted in accordance with the previous remark.

In addition to relations (32)-(41), there are also six equations³ obtained from Eqs. (32)-(37) with the replacement $c_{\tau'\tau} \rightarrow c_{\tau\tau'}$, $d_{\tau'\tau} \rightarrow d_{\tau\tau'}$.

If $l_1 = |l_0| + 1$, the quantities $c_{\tau'\tau}$ and $d_{\tau'\tau}$ must satisfy the "boundary" equations

$$\begin{aligned}
& c(l_0 \pm 1, |l_0| + 2; l_0, |l_0| + 2)d(l_0, |l_0| + 2; l_0, |l_0| + 1) \\
& + d(l_0 \pm 1, |l_0| + 2; l_0, |l_0| + 2)c(l_0, |l_0| + 2; l_0, |l_0| + 1) = 0, \quad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& c(l_0, |l_0| + 1; l_0 \mp 1, |l_0| + 1)d(l_0 \mp 1, |l_0| + 1; l_0, |l_0| + 1) \\
& + d(l_0, |l_0| + 1; l_0 \mp 1, |l_0| + 1)c(l_0 \mp 1, |l_0| + 1; l_0, |l_0| + 1) \\
& + c(l_0, |l_0| + 1; l_0, |l_0| + 2)d(l_0, |l_0| + 2; l_0, |l_0| + 1) \\
& + d(l_0, |l_0| + 1; l_0, |l_0| + 2)c(l_0, |l_0| + 2; l_0, |l_0| + 1) = 0, \quad (43)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& l_0[c(l_0, |l_0| + 1; l_0 \mp 1, |l_0| + 1)d(l_0 \mp 1, |l_0| + 1; l_0, |l_0| + 1) \\
& - d(l_0, |l_0| + 1; l_0 \mp 1, |l_0| + 1)c(l_0 \mp 1, |l_0| + 1; l_0, |l_0| + 1)] \\
& - (l_0 + 1)[c(l_0, |l_0| + 1; l_0, |l_0| + 2)d(l_0, |l_0| + 2; l_0, |l_0| + 1) \\
& - d(l_0, |l_0| + 1; l_0, |l_0| + 2)c(l_0, |l_0| + 2; l_0, |l_0| + 1)] = 0, \quad (44)
\end{aligned}$$

where the upper and lower signs correspond to the respective cases $l_0 \geq 0$ and $l_0 \leq 0$. One more equation must be added to these equations; it is obtained from Eq. (42) with the replacement $c_{\tau'\tau} \rightarrow c_{\tau\tau'}$ and $d_{\tau'\tau} \rightarrow d_{\tau\tau'}$.

It is easy to verify that the quantities $c_{\tau'\tau}$ and $d_{\tau'\tau}$, which are written later in Corollaries 1-4, satisfy all Eqs. (32)-(44) and also provide the necessary transformation properties of the operators Γ^μ and D^μ under spatial reflection and satisfy relations (14) and (27). The proof that Corollaries 1-4 exhaust all variants of the theory satisfying Conditions 1-4 is too cumbersome; we therefore restrict ourselves to outlining it and present some general conclusions at the end of this section and some specific conclusions at the beginning of the next section, which allow reconstructing the full body of the proof.

A simple analysis of Eqs. (32)-(37) and (42) shows that the equality of all the quantities $c_{\tau\tau'}, d_{\tau\tau'}$ to zero is only possible if either field Lagrangian (12) is splittable or the secondary symmetry transformations are trivial, which respectively contradicts Condition 2 or Condition 3.

Because of the equivalence of the irreducible representations (l_0, l_1) and $(-l_0, -l_1)$, we can restrict ourselves to only pairs (l_0, l_1) such that $l_1 > 0$.

For the proper Lorentz group representation S satisfying Condition 1, let there exist a solution of system (32)-(44) that is consistent with Conditions 2 and 3. Then among the representations $(l_0, l_1) \in S$, there is a representation (k_0, k_1) such that either

³In referring to these six equations, we assign them the same numbers, but with primes.

$c(k_0, k_1 + 1; k_0, k_1)d(k_0, k_1; k_0, k_1 + 1) \neq 0$ or $d(k_0, k_1 + 1; k_0, k_1)c(k_0, k_1; k_0, k_1 + 1) \neq 0$ and the quantities $c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1)$ and $d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1)$ are equal to zero provided that $|l_0| \leq l_1 - 1$ and $l_1 \leq k_1 - 1$. If $k_0 \neq 0$, it follows from Eqs. (38)-(41), (43), and (44) that

$$d(l_0 + 1, k_1; l_0, k_1)c(l_0, k_1; l_0 + 1, k_1) = \frac{(k_1 + k_0)a - (k_1 - k_0)b}{2k_1(k_1 - l_0)(k_1 + l_0 + 1)}, \quad (45)$$

$$c(l_0 + 1, k_1; l_0, k_1)d(l_0, k_1; l_0 + 1, k_1) = \frac{-(k_1 - k_0)a + (k_1 + k_0)b}{2k_1(k_1 - l_0)(k_1 + l_0 + 1)}, \quad (46)$$

$$d(l_0, k_1 + 1; l_0, k_1)c(l_0, k_1; l_0, k_1 + 1) = \frac{-(l_0 + k_0)a + (l_0 - k_0)b}{(k_1 - l_0)(k_1 - l_0 + 1)(k_1 + l_0)(k_1 + l_0 + 1)}, \quad (47)$$

$$c(l_0, k_1 + 1; l_0, k_1)d(l_0, k_1; l_0, k_1 + 1) = \frac{(l_0 - k_0)a - (l_0 + k_0)b}{(k_1 - l_0)(k_1 - l_0 + 1)(k_1 + l_0)(k_1 + l_0 + 1)}, \quad (48)$$

where at least one of the constants a or b is nonzero, $-k_1 + 1 \leq l_0 \leq k_1 - 2$ in Eqs. (45) and (46), and $|l_0| \leq k_1 - 1$ in Eqs. (47) and (48). If $k_0 = 0$, the numerators in the right-hand sides of Eqs. (45)-(48) must be respectively replaced with $k_1(A - B)$, $k_1(A + B)$, $-k_1A + l_0B$, and $-k_1A - l_0B$, where at least one of the constants A or B is nonzero.

To proceed further in analyzing the system of equations (32)-(44), we introduce the quantities $g_{\tau'\tau}$ such that

$$d_{\tau'\tau} = g_{\tau'\tau}c_{\tau'\tau} \quad (49)$$

if $c_{\tau'\tau} \neq 0$. Having verified that the last inequality holds, we can use the following equations obtained from the respective equations⁴ (42), (32), (33), (34) and (35), (36) and (37), (34) and (34'), and (36) and (36'):

$$g(l_0, l_0 + 2; l_0, l_0 + 1) = -g(l_0 + 1, l_0 + 2; l_0, l_0 + 2), \quad (50)$$

$$g(l_0, l_1; l_0 - 1, l_1) = g(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1), \quad (51)$$

$$g(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 - 1) = g(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1), \quad (52)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [g(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1 + 1) - g(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)] \\ & \times [l_1 g(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) - (l_0 + 1)g(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1)] \\ & = [g(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) - g(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1)] \\ & \times [(l_1 + 1)g(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1 + 1) - l_0 g(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)], \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [g(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1) - g(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1)] \\ & \times [l_1 g(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) + l_0 g(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)] \\ & = [g(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) - g(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)] \\ & \times [(l_1 + 1)g(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1) + (l_0 + 1)g(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1)], \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

$$g(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1 + 1)g(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)$$

$$\times [l_1 g(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) - (l_0 + 1)g(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1)]$$

⁴See footnote 3.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times [l_1 g(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) - (l_0 + 1) g(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1)] \\
& \times c(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) d(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1) d(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1) \\
& = g(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1) g(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) \\
& \times [(l_1 + 1) g(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1 + 1) - l_0 g(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)] \\
& \times [(l_1 + 1) g(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1) - l_0 g(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1)] \\
& \times c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1) d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1) d(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1 + 1), \quad (55)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& g(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1) g(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1 + 1) \\
& \times [l_1 g(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) + l_0 g(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1)] [l_1 g(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) + l_0 g(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1)] \\
& \times c(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) d(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1) d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) \\
& = g(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) g(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) \\
& \times [(l_1 + 1) g(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1) + (l_0 + 1) g(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1)] \\
& \times [(l_1 + 1) g(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1 + 1) + (l_0 + 1) g(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1)] \\
& \times c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1) d(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1) \\
& \times c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1) d(l_0 + 1, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1 + 1), \quad (56)
\end{aligned}$$

and also the equations obtained from Eqs. (50)-(54) with the replacement $g_{\tau'\tau} \rightarrow g_{\tau\tau'}$.

4. Consequences of the double symmetry for the fermionic free-field Lagrangian

Whatever the quantities $p_{\dot{\tau}\tau}$ and $a_{\dot{\tau}\tau}$ may be in some canonical basis, we can find a new canonical basis such that formulas (8)-(10) remain unchanged and the equalities

$$p_{\dot{\tau}\tau} = p_{\tau\dot{\tau}} = 1, \quad (57)$$

$$a_{\dot{\tau}\tau} = a_{\tau\dot{\tau}} = \pm 1 \quad (58)$$

hold; the signs in the right-hand side of Eq. (58) can be either identical or opposite for the different representations τ .

Using relations (24) and (25) and Condition 4 and taking formulas (20)-(23) into account, we obtain

$$c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) = c(-l_0 - 1, l_1; -l_0, l_1), \quad (59)$$

$$c(l_0, l_1 \pm 1; l_0, l_1) = c(-l_0, l_1 \pm 1; -l_0, l_1), \quad (60)$$

$$c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) = c^*(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1), \quad (61)$$

$$c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) = -c^*(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1), \quad (62)$$

and also find that the quantities $a_{\dot{\tau}\tau}$ for all the representations τ are the same and can be set equal to unity without loss of generality. Note that the last statement and relations (61) and (62) fail if we omit Condition 4.

Consider the case where the parameter θ_μ in transformations (2) is a polar four-vector. The quantities $d_{\tau'\tau}$ must then satisfy the conditions of type (59) and (60). It follows from formulas (59) for $c_{\tau'\tau}$ and $d_{\tau'\tau}$ and from (45) and (46) for $l_0 = -1/2$ that $a = b$, after which it follows from Eqs. (45)-(48) and (60) that

$$g(l_0 + 1, k_1; l_0, k_1) = g(l_0, k_1; l_0 + 1, k_1), \quad (63)$$

$$g(l_0, k_1 + 1; l_0, k_1) = g(l_0, k_1; l_0, k_1 + 1), \quad (64)$$

$$g(l_0, k_1 + 1; l_0, k_1) = g(-l_0, k_1 + 1; -l_0, k_1) \quad (65)$$

for all admissible values of l_0 .

We must analyze two possible cases,

$$c(k_1, k_1 + 1; k_1 - 1, k_1 + 1)d(k_1 - 1, k_1 + 1; k_1, k_1 + 1) \neq 0, \quad (66)$$

or

$$c(k_1, k_1 + 1; k_1 - 1, k_1 + 1)d(k_1 - 1, k_1 + 1; k_1, k_1 + 1) = 0, \quad (67)$$

separately.

We dwell on the first case. It follows from Eqs. (42) and (32) that we can introduce the quantities $g(l_0 \pm 1, k_1 + 1; l_0, k_1 + 1)$ for all admissible values of l_0 . First using equality (50) for $l_0 = k_1 - 1$ and equality (51) for $l_1 = k_1 + 1$ and all admissible values of l_0 , we find that the system of equations (53) and (54) for $l_0 = k_1 - 2$ and $l_1 = k_1$ has two solutions; we then catch a dependence of the quantities $g(l_0, k_1 + 1; l_0, k_1)$ on l_0 for each of the solutions and verify this dependence by induction. We find that one of the solutions of the system of equations (53) and (54) is

$$g(l_0, k_1 + 1; l_0, k_1) = (-1)^{l_0 + k_1 - 1} g_0, \quad (68)$$

where g_0 is a constant. This solution contradicts relation (65) and must be rejected. Only the solution

$$\begin{aligned} g(l_0 \pm 1, k_1; l_0, k_1) &= g(l_0 \pm 1, k_1 + 1; l_0, k_1 + 1) \\ &= -g(l_0, k_1 + 1; l_0, k_1) = -g(l_0, k_1; l_0, k_1 + 1) = g_0 \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

remains. Using relations (45)-(48) (for $a = b$) and (69), we now turn to the system of equations (55) and (56) for $l_0 = k_1 - 2$ and $l_1 = k_1$. Because $a \neq 0$ and $g_0 \neq 0$, this system is consistent if and only if $k_1 = 3/2$. For such a value of k_1 , we have

$$c\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}\right)d\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}\right)c\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}\right) = \frac{ak_0}{6}.$$

Thereafter, we can again use the system of equations (38)-(41), (43), and (44) for $l_1 = k_1 + 1 = 5/2$, and so on. Finally, using induction, we can verify that the representation S incorporates all finite-dimensional irreducible half-integer spin representations of the group $L_+^\uparrow$ and that for all admissible values l_0 and l_1 , the equalities

$$g(l_0 \pm 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) = -g(l_0, l_1 \pm 1; l_0, l_1) = g_0, \quad (70)$$

$$c(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1)c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) = c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1)c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) = f_0 \quad (71)$$

hold, where f_0 is a constant. It is evident that solution (71) contradicts relations (61) and (62) related to Condition 4, i.e., Conditions 1-4 cannot be fulfilled in the case under consideration. If we give up Condition 4, then taking formulas (58), (25), (20)-(23), and (71) into account, we find that Conditions 1-3 are fulfilled if and only if

$$a_{\vec{\tau}\tau} = (-1)^{l_1 - 3/2} e_0 \quad \text{for} \quad \tau = (l_0, l_1),$$

$$c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) = c(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) = c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) = c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1) = c_0,$$

where c_0 is a real constant and the constant e_0 equals either 1 or -1. Here and in what follows, the values of the quantities $c_{\tau'\tau}$ are presented up to inessential phase factors that can be introduced or eliminated by changing the relative phases of the basis vectors of the space of the representation S .

We do not analyze the case described by equality (67) nor any other variants here.

To simplify the formulations of Corollaries 1-4, we first note that each representation S of the group $L_+^\uparrow$ in these corollaries is assigned a unique set of the quantities $c_{\tau'\tau}$ and $d_{\tau'\tau}$ (up to a common normalization factor), which can be written for all appropriate pairs τ and τ' belonging to S , and a unique set of the quantities $a_{i\tau}$ (up to a common sign fixed to be positive), $a_{i\tau} = 1$ for all $\tau \in S$.

Corollary 1. *The requirement that a fermionic free-field theory satisfy Conditions 1-4 if the parameter θ_μ in transformations (2) is a polar four-vector can be fulfilled only for a countable set of the proper Lorentz group representations that are numbered by half-integer numbers k_1 ($k_1 \geq 3/2$),*

$$S^{k_1} = \sum_{n_1=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{n_0=-k_1+1/2}^{k_1-3/2} \oplus (\frac{1}{2} + n_0, k_1 + n_1), \quad (72)$$

and the quantities $c_{\tau'\tau}$ and $d_{\tau'\tau}$ corresponding to the representation S^{k_1} are given by the equalities

$$c(l_0+1, l_1; l_0, l_1) = c(l_0, l_1; l_0+1, l_1) = c_0 \sqrt{\frac{(k_1 - l_0 - 1)(k_1 + l_0)}{(l_1 - l_0)(l_1 - l_0 - 1)(l_1 + l_0)(l_1 + l_0 + 1)}}, \quad (73)$$

$$c(l_0, l_1+1; l_0, l_1) = c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1+1) = c_0 \sqrt{\frac{(k_1 - l_1 - 1)(k_1 + l_1)}{(l_1 - l_0)(l_1 - l_0 + 1)(l_1 + l_0)(l_1 + l_0 + 1)}}, \quad (74)$$

$$d(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) = d(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) = g_0 c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1), \quad (75)$$

$$d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) = d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1) = g_0 c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1), \quad (76)$$

where c_0 and g_0 are real constants.

Corollary 2. *The requirement that a fermionic free-field theory satisfy Conditions 1-4 if the parameter θ_μ in transformations (2) is an axial four-vector can be fulfilled only in the following three cases:*

1. *for the countable set of the proper Lorentz group representations whose element is given by formula (72), where $k_1 \geq 3/2$, with the quantities $c_{\tau'\tau}$ corresponding to representation S^{k_1} given by formulas (73) and (74), where c_0 is a real constant, and the quantities $d_{\tau'\tau}$ given by*

$$d(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) = -d(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) = g_0 l_1 c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1), \quad (77)$$

$$d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) = -d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1) = g_0 l_0 c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1), \quad (78)$$

where g_0 is a real constant;

2. *for the countable set of the proper Lorentz group representations whose element is given by formula (72), where $k_1 \geq 3/2$, with the quantities $c_{\tau'\tau}$ and $d_{\tau'\tau}$ corresponding to representation S^{k_1} given by*

$$\begin{aligned} c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) &= c(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) = \\ &= (-1)^{l_1-1/2} c_0 l_1 \sqrt{\frac{(k_1 - l_0 - 1)(k_1 + l_0)}{(l_1 - l_0)(l_1 - l_0 - 1)(l_1 + l_0)(l_1 + l_0 + 1)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) &= c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1) = \\
&= (-1)^{l_0-1/2} c_0 l_0 \sqrt{\frac{(k_1 - l_1 - 1)(k_1 + l_1)}{(l_1 - l_0)(l_1 - l_0 + 1)(l_1 + l_0)(l_1 + l_0 + 1)}}, \tag{80}
\end{aligned}$$

$$d(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) = -d(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) = g_0 l_1^{-1} c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1), \tag{81}$$

$$d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) = -d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1) = g_0 l_0^{-1} c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1), \tag{82}$$

where c_0 and g_0 are real constants; and

3. for the proper Lorentz group representation S^F containing all finite-dimensional irreducible half-integer spin representations of the group $L_+^\uparrow$,

$$S^F = \sum_{n_1=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{n_0=-n_1-1}^{n_1} \oplus (1/2 + n_0, 3/2 + n_1), \tag{83}$$

with the corresponding quantities $c_{\tau'\tau}$ and $d_{\tau'\tau}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) &= c(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) = \\
&= (-1)^{l_1+1/2} c_0 \sqrt{\frac{1 - (-1)^{l_1+l_0}}{2(l_1 - l_0 - 1)(l_1 + l_0)} + \frac{1 + (-1)^{l_1+l_0}}{2(l_1 + l_0 + 1)(l_1 - l_0)}}, \tag{84}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) &= c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1) = \\
&= c_0 \sqrt{\frac{1 - (-1)^{l_1+l_0}}{2(l_0 - l_1 - 1)(l_1 + l_0)} + \frac{1 + (-1)^{l_1+l_0}}{2(l_1 + l_0 + 1)(l_0 - l_1)}}, \tag{85}
\end{aligned}$$

$$d(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) = -d(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) = (-1)^{l_1+1/2} g_0 c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1), \tag{86}$$

$$d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) = -d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1) = (-1)^{l_0-1/2} g_0 c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1), \tag{87}$$

where c_0 and g_0 are real constants.

5. Consequences of the double symmetry for the bosonic free-field Lagrangian

Corollaries 3 and 4 given below are valid for either of the two types of bosonic described in Condition 1.

Corollary 3. *The requirement that a bosonic free-field theory satisfy Conditions 1-4 if the parameter θ_μ in transformations (2) is a polar four-vector can be fulfilled only in the following two cases:*

1. for a countable set of the proper Lorentz group representations numbered by integer numbers k_1 ($k_1 \geq 1$),

$$S^{k_1} = \sum_{n_1=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{n_0=-k_1+1}^{k_1-1} \oplus (n_0, k_1 + n_1), \tag{88}$$

with the corresponding quantities $c_{\tau'\tau}$ and $d_{\tau'\tau}$ respectively given by formulas (73) and (74) and formulas (75) and (76), where c_0 and g_0 are real constants;

2. for the proper Lorentz group representation containing all finite-dimensional irreducible integer-spin representations of the group $L_+^\uparrow$, which is denoted by S^B ,

$$S^B = \sum_{n_1=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{n_0=-n_1}^{n_1} \oplus (n_0, 1 + n_1), \tag{89}$$

with the corresponding quantities $c_{\tau'\tau}$ and $d_{\tau'\tau}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) &= c(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) = \\ &= (-1)^{l_1+1} c_0 \sqrt{\frac{1 + (-1)^{l_1+l_0}}{2(l_1 + l_0 + 1)(l_1 - l_0 - 1)} + \frac{1 - (-1)^{l_1+l_0}}{2(l_1 + l_0)(l_1 - l_0)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) &= c(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1) = \\ &= c_0 \sqrt{\frac{1 + (-1)^{l_1+l_0}}{2(l_1 + l_0 + 1)(l_0 - l_1 - 1)} + \frac{1 - (-1)^{l_1+l_0}}{2(l_1 + l_0)(l_0 - l_1)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

$$d(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1) = d(l_0, l_1; l_0 + 1, l_1) = (-1)^{l_1+1} g_0 c(l_0 + 1, l_1; l_0, l_1), \quad (92)$$

$$d(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1) = d(l_0, l_1; l_0, l_1 + 1) = (-1)^{l_0} g_0 c(l_0, l_1 + 1; l_0, l_1), \quad (93)$$

where c_0 and g_0 are real constants.

Corollary 4. *The requirement that a bosonic free-field theory satisfy Conditions 1-4 if the parameter θ_μ in transformations (2) is an axial four-vector can be fulfilled only for the countable set of the proper Lorentz group representations whose element is given by formula (88), where $k_1 \geq 2$, with the quantities $c_{\tau'\tau}$ and $d_{\tau'\tau}$ corresponding to representation S^{k_1} respectively given by formulas (73) and (74) and formulas (77) and (78), where c_0 and g_0 are real constants.*

It seems reasonable to also incorporate the variants of the bosonic field theory, where the following condition holds either together with or instead of Condition 3.

Condition 3A. Both types of bosonic free fields, denoted by φ_+ and φ_- , are described by identical Lagrangians before a spontaneous breaking of the secondary symmetry. The sum of these Lagrangians is invariant under the secondary symmetry transformations given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_+ \\ \varphi_- \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \varphi'_+ \\ \varphi'_- \end{pmatrix} = \exp \left[-i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^\mu \\ D^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \theta_\mu \right] \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_+ \\ \varphi_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (94)$$

where the parameter θ_μ are the components of a polar or an axial four-vector of the orthochronous Lorentz group and D^μ are matrix operators.

It is evident that if the parameter θ_μ is a polar (axial) four-vector, then the bosonic free-field theory satisfies Condition 1, 2, 3A, and 4 for those representation S and their corresponding quantities $c_{\tau'\tau}$ and $d_{\tau'\tau}$ that are described in Corollary 4 (Corollary 3).

6. Concluding remarks

In each variant of the theory described in Corollaries 1-4, the operators D^μ in secondary symmetry transformations (2) are defined uniquely up to a common normalization constant; therefore, the secondary symmetry group generated by transformations (2) and their products is also defined uniquely. In the present paper, we have no need to reveal the characteristics of the Lie algebra for any of these groups. We only note that the Lie algebra of the double symmetry group corresponding to Corollaries 1, 2 (part 2), and 3 (part 1) coincides with the Lie algebra of the Poincaré group; however, the operators D^μ cannot be identified with the translation generators P^μ , because the D^μ act on the spin variables of the field, while the P^μ do not.

Lagrangian (12) is assigned Gelfand-Yaglom equation (1), where $R = \kappa E$ and E is an identity operator. Let λ be an eigenvalue of the operators Γ^0 ,

$$\Gamma^0 \Psi(\lambda) = \lambda \Psi(\lambda).$$

Then the mass M spectrum obtained from Eq. (1) is related to the eigenvalue λ spectrum for the operator Γ^0 by $M = \kappa/\lambda$. Because of the secondary symmetry of the theory, the operator Γ^0 commutes with the operator D^3 , which, according to an equality similar to Eq. (17) and relations (7) and (8), takes a state with certain spin l to the states with spins $l-1$, l , and $l+1$; therefore, the vectors $(D^3)^n \Psi(\lambda)$, $n \geq 1$, are also the eigenvectors with the eigenvalue λ of the operator Γ^0 . The mass spectrum corresponding to each infinite-component field of the theory under consideration is thus infinitely degenerate in spin. A detailed analysis shows that the mass spectrum is continuous, discrete points are absent from the spectrum. However, the infinite degeneracy in spin is already sufficient for posing a question of a spontaneous breaking of the secondary symmetry.

Acknowledgments. The author is very grateful to A.U. Klimyk, A.A. Komar, and V. I. Fushchich for the useful discussions of the problems considered in this paper.

References

- [1] V.L.Ginzburg and I.E.Tamm, *Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.* **17** (1947) 227.
- [2] I.M.Gelfand and A.M.Yaglom, *Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.* **18** (1948) 703.
- [3] A.A.Komar and L.M.Slad, *Teor.Mat.Fiz.* **1** (1969) 50.
- [4] I.T.Grodsky and R.F.Streater, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **20** (1968) 695.
- [5] N.N.Bogolubov, A.A.Logunov, A.I.Oksak, and I.T.Todorov, *General principles of quantum field theory* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1990).
- [6] I.M.Gelfand and A.M.Yaglom, *Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.* **18** (1948) 1094.
- [7] V.Bargmann, *Math.Rev.* **10** (1949) 583 and 584.
- [8] E.Abers, I.T.Grodsky, and R.E.Norton, *Phys.Rev.* **159** (1967) 1222.
- [9] P.A.M.Dirac, *Proc.Roy.Soc.* **A322** (1971) 435.
- [10] L.M.Slad, *Teor.Mat.Fiz.* **2** (1970) 67.
- [11] W.Pauli, in: *Niels Bohr and the development of physics* (Edited by W.Pauli with assistance of L.Rosenfeld and V.Weisskopf, Pergamon Press, London, 1955), p.30.
- [12] L.M.Slad, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A15** (2000) 379 (hep-th/0003107).
- [13] I.M.Gelfand, R.A.Minlos and Z.Ya.Shapiro, *Representations of the rotation and Lorentz group and their applications* (The Macmillan Company, New York, 1963).